PRESENTER

Francis Cooke QC

Francis has an LLB with honours from Victoria University, and a first class masters degree from Cambridge University. After periods with Ashurst Morris Crisp in London and Chapman Tripp in Wellington, he commenced practice as a barrister in 1993, and was appointed Queen's Counsel in 2004. He specialises in public and commercial law. A major part of his practice is made up of judicial review cases, acting both for and against public bodies.

Acknowledgements

This booklet updates an earlier workshop booklet originally prepared by Mary Scholtens QC in 1999, as revised by her in 2004. This is essentially a further revision of her work. In addition, the source of much of the material on "How to do it", and Appendices B and C, is an earlier NZLS seminar on Judicial Review which Mary presented with Paul Radich. The information and materials in those sections is the work of Paul and Tristran Gilbertson, with, again, minor updating

The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

CONTENTS

1. WHAT IS JUDICIAL REVIEW? 1

2. THE PLACE OF JUDICIAL REVIEW IN NEW ZEALAND'S CONSTITUTION 5

3. USE OF JUDICIAL REVIEW BY INTEREST GROUPS FOR TACTICAL ADVANTAGE 9

4. LEGAL PRINCIPLES - THE GROUNDS FOR REVIEW 11

A. ILLEGALITY (ACTING OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF THE POWER) 11
(A1) ERROR OF LAW 12
Example: 12
(A2) IMPROPER PURPOSE 12
Example: 12
(A3) RELEVANT/IRRELEVANT CONSIDERATIONS 13
Example: 14
Another example: 14
(A4) MISTAKE OF FACT 14
Example: 15
(A5) RIGID APPLICATION OF PRE-DETERMINED POLICY 15
Example: 15
(A6) ACTING UNDER DICTATION 16
Example: 16
(A7) INVALID DELEGATION 16
Example: 16
Another example: 17
(A8) ULTRA VIRES REGULATIONS 17
Example: 17
(B) UNFAIRNESS/PROCEDURAL PROPRIETY 17
Example: 17
Another example: 18
Example: 18
Another example 19
(C) UNREASONABLENESS 19

5. AVOIDING JUDICIAL REVIEW -THE BENEFIT OF GOOD PROCEDURES 21

THE LAW SHOULD COME FIRST 21
EFFECTIVE CONSULTATION WHICH FULFILS THE DUTY TO ACT FAIRLY 21
GETTING THE PAPERWORK RIGHT 22
THE ROLE OF THE LEGAL ADVISER 24
A "GOOD PROCESS" MODEL 24

6. THE COURT PROCESS - SUMMARY 27

7. JUDICIAL REVIEW: HOW TO DO IT 29

RECOMMENDED PRIMARY RESOURCES 29
Procedure 29
Substantive law 29
KICKING OFF: THE PLEADINGS 29
Justiciability 29
Assessing the sufficiency of your information 29
JURISDICTION 30
INTITULMENT 30
PARTIES 31
STATEMENT OF CLAIM 32
PARTIES 33
GOVERNING INSTRUMENT 33
SUBJECT MATTER 34

SEQUENCE OF EVENTS 34
DECISION SUBJECT TO REVIEW 34
GROUNDS FOR RELIEF 34
REMEDY 35
WHAT ELSE TO FILE? 35
Notice of proceeding 35
Supporting affidavits 36
Statement of defence 36
Service 36
APPLICATION FOR INTERIM ORDERS 37
INTERLOCUTORY PROCEDURES 37
EVIDENCE 39
PROCEDURE AT HEARING 40
OUTCOME 42

APPENDIX A 43

DECISION-MAKING CHECKLISTS 43

APPENDIX B 45

TABLE COMPARING INTERLOCUTORY PROCEDURES : S 10(2) JAA AND HIGH COURT RULES 45

APPENDIX C 49

PRECEDENTS 49
ACKNOWLEDGMENT 49

APPENDIX D 65

JUDICATURE AMENDMENT ACT 1972 65